



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/782,255	02/14/2001	Bruce Marvin Held	N1205-009	1482
32905	7590 11/01/2004		EXAM	INER
JONDLE & ASSOCIATES P.C.		•	VOGEL, NANCY S	
9085 EAST M SUITE 200	IINERAL CIRCLE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CENTENNIAL, CO 80112			1636	

DATE MAILED: 11/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/782,255	HELD ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Nancy T. Vogel	1636				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 22 September 2004 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appears Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applic 1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dar	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP				
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moleaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ .they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
The drawing correction filed on is a) □ approved or b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. \square Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:		JAMES KETTER PRIMARY EXAMINER				

Application No.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's arguments are not found convincing. There is no support for the recited range of homology in the specification. For reasons of record, it is maintained that the claims as drafted are vague and indefinite, and therefore the metes and bounds of the intended claimed subject matter cannot be determined. The specification at page 11, pointed to by applicants in their arguments, does not remedy the indefiniteness of the claims, since page 11 refers to the segments of sequence which are selected in step b) of the claim 43 method, i.e. at page 11 it it is stated that "segments are considered similar if they have between 60% and 100% sequence identity over the segment being examined. These segments are usually 20-200 bases in legnth". Thus this portion of the specification does not provide support for the claim as drafted, in which part c) recites "aligning the selected segments based on homology with the template promoter to derive a first synthetic promoter having between about 60% to about 90% homology over the entire length of the template promoter".